## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/622,144	WIEDEMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID P. RASHID	2624	

	DAVID P. RASHID	2624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);         (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);     </li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>			•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hefers or on the date of Elina a ble	tice of Appealill no	be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by refer to attachment.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Vikkram Bali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/David P Rashid/ Examiner			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner Art Unit: 2624